

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/731,353	SPRAGUE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Henry M Johnson, III	3739	

All Participants:

(1) Henry M Johnson, III.

(2) Arthur Gooding.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 March 2005

Time: 11:17 AM EST

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Double patenting

Claims discussed:

6

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Agreed to file a terminal disclaimer. Minor changes to claims 4 and 6 to correct antecedent issue and add patent numbers to continuity information.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)